



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

TUCKER ELLIS & WEST LLP
1150 HUNTINGTON BUILDING
925 EUCLID AVENUE
CLEVELAND OH 44115-1414

COPY MAILED

SEP 22 2008

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Steiss et al.	:	
Application No.: 10/773385	:	DECISION ON
Filing or 371(c) Date: 02/05/2004	:	PETITION
Attorney Docket Number: 6364	:	

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed August 1, 2008, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely pay the issue and publication fees on or before February 9, 2008, as required by the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due, mailed November 8, 2007. Accordingly, the date of abandonment of this application is February 10, 2008.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of payment of the issue fee; (2) the petition fee; and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay¹.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3232.

This application is being referred to the Office of Data Management for processing into a patent.

/Derek L. Woods/
Derek L. Woods
Attorney
Office of Petitions

¹ It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.